

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF CONNER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/778,310 | 02/07/2001 | Stephen Memory | 655.00875 | 5647 |
| 7 | 590 02/10/2004 | EXAMINER | | |
| WOOD, PHILLIPS, VAN SANTEN, CLARK & MORTIMER SUITE 3800 500 WEST MADISON STREET CHICAGO, IL 60661 | | | PATEL, NIHIR B | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3743 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004 | · - \ |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ``` | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 09/778,310 | MEMORY ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Guillinary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication and | Nihir Patel | 3743 / 1 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Janu | iary 20 th , 2004 . | | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 14-34 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15,18 and 20-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14, 17, 19, 31, 32, and 33</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement | | | | | |
| Application Papers | election requirement. | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep | oted or b) objected to by the Exa | miner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro | oved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | • | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |



Art Unit: 3743

رغل.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see page 126, filed January 20th, 2004, with respect to claims 20 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The office action of page 15 has been withdrawn.

Claims 15,18, and 20-30 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1 142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17, 14, 19, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasuhiko Patent No. JP05099581 in view of Shigenaka et al. US Patent No. 5,617,916.

Yasuhiko discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing slots that have flange free edges brazed to the tube runs.

Shigenaka discloses a fin tube heat exchanger that does provide slots that have flange free edges brazed to the tube runs. Therefore it would be obvious to modify Yasuhiko's invention by providing slots that have flange free edges brazed to the tube runs in order to improve the heat transfer coefficient.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/778,310

Art Unit: 3743

(de.)

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasuhiko Patent No. JP05099581 in view of Scholl US Patent No. 3,687,194.

Yasuhiko discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing slots that are about 90 degrees to the direction of elongation of the fins.

Scholl discloses ribbed pipe unit that does provide slots that are about 90 degrees to the direction of elongation of the fins. Therefore it would be obvious to modify Yasuhiko's invention by providing slots that are about 90 degrees to the direction of elongation of the fins in order to increase the heat transfer process.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (703) 306-3463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner supervisor Henry Bennett can be reached at (703) 308-0101.

NP February 9th, 2004

Herra Bennett Supervisory Fatest Examiner